	1 9 1 01 13
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case No. 12-12020-mg
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,
9	
10	Debtors.
11	
12	x
13	
14	United States Bankruptcy Court
15	One Bowling Green
16	New York, New York
17	
18	August 11, 2014
19	9:01 AM
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON. MARTIN GLENN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	
	eScribers, LLC   (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net   www.escribers.net

```
1
 2
    APPEARANCES: (TELEPHONIC)
 3
    MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 4
          Attorneys for Debtors
 5
          250 West 55th Street
 6
          New York, NY 10019
 7
 8
    BY: JORDAN A. WISHNEW, ESQ.
 9
          MERYL L. ROTHCHILD, ESQ.
10
11
12
    REED SMITH LLP
13
          Attorneys for ResCap Borrower Claims Trust
14
          599 Lexington Avenue
15
          22nd Floor
16
          New York, NY 10022
17
18
    BY: BARBARA K. HAGER, ESQ.
19
20
21
    ALSO PRESENT:
22
          FRANK REED, Pro Se Participant
23
24
25
```

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. This is Judge Glenn. We're on the record in Residential Capital, number 12-12020. This is a telephone hearing on the record regarding scheduling of the deposition of Ms. Reed.

Who is on the phone?

MR. REED: Frank Reed, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Reed.

MS. HAGER: Good morning. This is Barbara Hager with Reed Smith, co-counsel for the borrower claims trust.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. WISHNEW: Good morning, Your Honor. Jordan Wishnew, Morrison & Foerster, co-counsel for the borrower claims trust. Ms. Hager will be taking the lead on this conference.

THE COURT: Okay.

Ms. Hager, tell me what's the status of the efforts to schedule Ms. Reed's deposition?

MS. HAGER: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, we sent out the notice of deposition for both Mr. and Ms. Reed on August 1st. And Mr. Reed, himself, was responsive and indicated that he would appear on the notice date of August the 12th, but rather immediately told me that Ms. Reed would be unavailable due to her nursing clinical scheduling requirements.

I told him that I had no problem working with him to

try and come up with another date, and I've had numerous conversations with Mr. Reed since then, attempting to schedule Ms. Reed's deposition. I'm, of course, sensitive to her other scheduling requirements. But obviously, we're on a short time frame.

I called Mr. Reed twice last week. I spoke with him on a number of occasions, and he, I think, has been in discussions with his wife. He told me he told her that he needed a date, but that she hasn't given one. So I felt as though we were getting nowhere. I told Mr. Reed that pretty much any day this week would be fine with me. Next week, I'm on vacation, but I can get somebody certainly to cover for me. And of course, the deadline is the 22nd, so we're trying to get everything in before that date.

So I just felt like we were getting nowhere and needed the Court to help us get some progress on the scheduling front.

THE COURT: Mr. Reed?

MR. REED: I -- Ms. Hager is pretty accurate in her depiction. My wife is in her last two -- just speaking factually, my wife is in her last two weeks of her nursing degree, not a class, not a trivial pursuit, her actual degree. I am not privy to all of her scheduling. I know that she is away from the home late hours of the day. I mean, she's not sleeping normal hours. It is -- involves clinicals, clinical testing, academic work, academic attendance of classes.

There's like five or six components to it, and it's consuming every waking hour away from our family and has been for -- to run up at the end of this degree. And I've begged her to please ask the school when, and she's very stressed and frustrated. It's not -- I don't think there's an intention to be a problem, but the school is Drexel University. They have a very strict policy in the program that she's in that she cannot miss. This could cause the failure -- it's a lockstep accelerated program -- the failure of the entire endeavor. And it's -- and I'm at a loss at the moment.

I -- I was contemplating -- since I'm -- we're not talking about expansive -- I'm not calling her to discuss expansive reasons. She was not materially involved in the note or the mortgage or in the negotiations with the debtors, that there was some facts that I'd like her to attest to. And I thought about it even over the weekend, perhaps, Ms. Hager and I could do some kind of a stipulation on the facts. I'm just -- I don't -- I'm at a very stressed position here in this regard. And I'm not -- Ms. Hager said I'm going to turn -- how do you feel about me turning to the Court for this -- an order for her to appear or guidance.

And I'm not opposed to it. I just don't know how to do it and deal with my wife of thirty-one years and, you know, the pursuit that she's doing. It is going to, hopefully, provide a living for our family in the next several weeks --

THE COURT: Mr. Reed, may I please --

MR. REED: -- upon graduation.

THE COURT: Mr. Reed, may I ask this? You indicated that she's in the last two weeks. What is the concluding date? The last two weeks --

MR. REED: She said that --

THE COURT: -- would end the day -- Friday the 22nd, which is the last day in the discovery period. But is that when she completes?

MR. REED: I will -- Your Honor, I -- she said to me a couple weeks, so I'm saying the last two weeks because I'm taking the word "couple". I can find that out. Truthfully, I don't have that in front of me. But I know, for example, I thought it was just August -- the program was ending -- I don't know. And I can -- like I said, I've taken this couple week inference or the two weeks for mean the common meaning of the word "couple".

THE COURT: Mr. Reed, I'm sensitive to the demands of her nursing program. And I'd like to try and find a way to accommodate both sides' needs and concerns. But it's very hard for the Court to do that when you're not able to provide me with concrete information as to -- because when you tell that she's in the last two weeks of the nursing degree, by my reckoning, it would take it to August 22nd or, if it covers the weekend, the 23rd or 24th.

MR. REED: Your Honor, when you say -- yes, because my 1 2 inference, again, from the word "couple" that my wife used to me means two. I can definitively find that out today --3 4 probably sometime later today, even if I have to go to Drexel 5 and hunt her down to find out the exact date, even perhaps 6 finding from the department itself if I can't find my wife, the 7 date that that -- that it concludes. THE COURT: Mr. --8 MR. REED: And I will go to that extent to make sure 9 10 that I find that date. I just don't have it in my 11 possession --12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. REED: -- right here today at this moment on the 14 phone. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hager, how long do you estimate 16 the deposition to take? How long? 17 MS. HAGER: Your Honor, it's hard to say exactly. But if I had to estimate, perhaps half a day. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, it -- look, Mr. Reed has been front and center with respect to this clai -- there's no 20 21 doubt that the claim was filed both on his behalf and his 22 wife's behalf. The --MR. REED: Hello? 23 THE COURT: Yes, I'm here. I'm thinking, Mr. Reed. 24 25 MR. REED: Oh, okay. I'm sorry; I thought I'd lost

```
1
    you.
 2
             THE COURT: No, that's okay.
             MR. REED: I'd lost you one time before --
 3
 4
             THE COURT: No, you didn't lose me.
 5
             MR. REED: -- and I didn't want to -- I want to make
 6
    sure I didn't again.
 7
             THE COURT: I'm thinking quietly. I'm looking at some
 8
    papers, so just hang on.
 9
             May I ask a different question, Mr. Reed? What
10
    happened to your efforts to secure counsel?
11
             MR. REED: Well, when we limited the claims to the
12
    property, that -- that did limit the potential dollar recovery.
13
    So that's what -- that's what happened there and I continued to
14
    endeavor to find counsel in the -- in the region, in New York
15
    City. I've interviewed three more counsel to see if
16
    they've -- you know, how they want to do it. And the biggest
17
    challenge I've had, Your Honor, is the diversity of law
18
    encompassing the prosecution of the -- of the proof of claim.
19
             THE COURT: I didn't want to --
20
             MR. REED: Primarily --
21
             THE COURT: Mr. Reed, you don't need to go into depth.
22
    The last time we had a telephone conversation, you had
    indicated that you had lined up counsel; he couldn't do it on
23
24
    the date scheduled because of family circumstances, and --
25
             MR. REED: That's correct and you had wanted it -- you
```

wanted his decision by, I think, a Thursday at 5 of that week. 1 2 THE COURT: Right. MR. REED: And he said to me that he doesn't have time 3 4 to look that quickly, with the effect of the winnowing of the damages claims we'll have, to give me a definitive answer by 5 6 that Thursday at 5 o'clock. So by default, I had no answer. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Therefore, that particular counsel, who was 8 MR. REED: 9 interested, I could not get to commit as a result of the damage 10 restrictions. 11 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. REED: And --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You know, on that I have to say --

MR. REED: -- which put me back --

THE COURT: Mr. Reed, on that score, you expressed shock and surprise, is the best I can describe it, during the last telephone hearing when I ruled with respect to the limitation of damages. Your shock and surprise surprised me when I read the motion for reconsideration that you filed before that telephone hearing and you acknowledged in writing that the effect of the Court's ruling was to wipe out -- that wasn't an exact term you used but pretty close -- wiped out most of your claim. So your professed shock and surprise, you have a tendency to exaggerate, let me put it that way, but that doesn't have to do with the scheduling of your wife's

1 deposition.

Here is what I would like to do. You need to find out today from your wife the precise date when the program, the nursing program ends. And you need to try and do one of two things with Ms. Hager. I'm prepared to extend the deadline for the close of discovery solely with respect to Ms. Reed's deposition to extend it to Friday, August 29th, essentially, a one-week extension. And, Ms. Hager, you need to try and work with Mr. Reed and Ms. Reed with finding -- I'm going to permit a three-hour deposition of Ms. Reed to be scheduled at a mutually convenient time and place during that, no later than August 29th. Time is short before the scheduled evidentiary hearing.

Ms. Hager, you also ought to discuss with Mr. Reed whether you can forgo the deposition if he agrees that Ms. Reed will not testify during the evidentiary hearing. It's certainly not clear to me what she would add, but that's -- I'm not -- Mr. Reed, I want to make clear, I'm not trying to preclude her from testifying, but her testifying at the hearing requires her to sit for a deposition, and to do so fairly promptly. I'm certainly prepared, as I've indicated, to extend that schedule by a week for her deposition alone to see whether that solves the problem.

So what I would like Mr. Reed, you and Ms. Hager to do, is see whether, by the close of business tomorrow, you can

get the issue resolved. You don't need to call your wife during the day today or at the Drexel program. Hopefully, you can get an answer by tomorrow morning and communicate with Ms. Hager with respect to a schedule. That's how I'd like to proceed.

What I'd like you to do. Ms. Hager, or Mr. Wishnew, is

What I'd like you to do, Ms. Hager, or Mr. Wishnew, is to -- hopefully, you'll be able to resolve this remaining issue in, as I say in one of two ways: either work out an agreement that she won't testify and, therefore, her deposition won't be necessary or arrange for her deposition to take place no later than --

MR. REED: Your Honor, it happened again.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'll say it again, Mr. Reed.

I want you and Ms. Hager to communicate. I will -- I want you to endeavor to work this out by tomorrow at 5 o'clock. You don't need to call your wife during the day at the nursing program or speak to anyone at Drexel about it. Find out from her the precise date when the program ends.

I'm providing an additional week for her deposition to take place. I've indicated it's a maximum of three hours at a time and place mutually convenient.

I can remember when I was in practice and where I had -- I had to take a deposition of someone who was working and quite restricted in their time and I took their deposition at night because that was a convenient time, it was a mutually

convenient time. And, Ms. Hager, if that's what it has to be, I'm limiting it to three hours; it can be in the evening, if necessary.

The other option, Mr. Reed, is to talk with Ms. Hager about an agreement that she'll forgo taking your wife's deposition but you will not be permitted to call your -- Ms. -- when I say "you", you and your wife are the claimants but she would have to agree that she would not testify at the hearing.

But, Ms. Hager, advise the Court whether you've been able to work this out. I leave for Boston for the rest of the week for a program tomorrow afternoon, but I will be in communication with my chambers and, if necessary, we'll find the time for another telephone hearing. I'm hoping that you will get this issue resolved.

I'm very sensitive to the importance of Ms. Reed being able to complete her nursing program and so I won't order -- but Mr. Reed had indicated his wife had told him that she's in the last two weeks of it. So by extending the discovery for her deposition for a week, hopefully, that will satisfy it. And if the program doesn't end, I would hope that she could still find three hours to sit for a deposition at -- if she's in the program in Philadelphia and that's where she is, well, take the deposition in Philadelphia. If it has to be in the evening, do it in the evening. But -- so I want to do

	REDIDENTIAL CHAITIE, EEC, CC CI.
1	everything reasonably possible to accommodate Ms. Reed's
2	schedule. I'm not trying to preclude her from testifying as a
3	witness at the evidentiary hearing.
4	So you can advise me by letter. If need be, we'll
5	find the time for another telephone hearing. I hope that won't
6	be necessary. Anybody have any questions?
7	MS. HAGER: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Reed?
9	MR. REED: Thank you, sir.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. We're adjourned.
11	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 9:19 AM)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATION I, Aliza Chodoff, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. ALIZA CHODOFF AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET\*\*D-634 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 Date: August 12, 2014